

## Evaluation of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Salvage Efficiency at the Tracy Fish Collection Facility

### Investigators

#### **Cathy Karp**

*Fisheries Biologist  
Fisheries and Wildlife Resources Group  
Bureau of Reclamation  
Denver, CO 80225  
ckarp@usbr.gov*

#### **Mark Bowen, Ph.D.**

*Fisheries Biologist  
Fisheries and Wildlife Resources Group  
Bureau of Reclamation  
Denver, CO 80225  
mbowen@usbr.gov*

#### **Connie DeMoyer Svoboda**

*Hydraulic Engineer  
Hydraulic Investigations and Laboratory Services Group  
Bureau of Reclamation  
Denver, CO 80225  
csvoboda@usbr.gov*

### Summary

The Tracy Fish Collection Facility (TFCF) was designed to divert juvenile Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) and striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) from south Sacramento-San Joaquin (Delta) flows (Bates *et al.* 1960). The TFCF uses a louver-bypass system to divert and guide fish into collection tanks, where they are held until they are transported back to the Delta, away from the facility. Fish and exported flows enter the facility through a trashrack with 5.1-cm-wide (2.0-in) bar spacing and travel through the 25.6-m-wide (84-ft) primary channel to one of four bypass entrances along the louver wall. Once inside the bypass entrance, fish travel downward into underground bypass tubes to the secondary channel where they encounter a double louver wall. Fish that are guided successfully by these louvers are diverted to one of four holding tanks. One to three times daily, fish are removed from each holding tank and returned to the Delta.

The efficiency of louvering systems to properly guide Chinook salmon is dependent on the water velocity in the facility's primary and secondary channels and the bypass ratio (BR), defined as the ratio of the water velocity entering the bypass openings to the average channel velocity upstream of the louvers (Bates *et al.* 1960, DWR 1967a, 1967b, Bowen *et al.* 2004). Bypass ratios above 1.0 provide a "capture velocity" when fish near the bypass entrance.

Water velocity and BR are such critical components guiding the efficiency of the TFCF that special operating guidelines have been specified in multiple regulatory

documents (SWRCB Decision-1485, NMFS 2004, USFWS 2004). Primary channel velocity is controlled by the number of pumps operating at the Jones Pumping Plant (JPP) and the tidal stage, and there are no legal requirements for maintaining a certain velocity. The minimal current facility criteria are as follows:

- Primary BR >1.0 (average primary bypass entrance velocity/ average primary channel velocity)
- Secondary BR >1.0 (average secondary bypass entrance velocity/ average secondary channel velocity)
- Secondary Channel Velocity approximately 0.3–0.45 m/s (1.0–1.5 ft/s) May 15–October 31
- Secondary Channel Velocity approximately 0.9 m/s (3.0 ft/s) November 1–May 14

In FY 2009, we completed 26 release-recovery efficiency experiments (day, night, crepuscular) at low primary channel velocities (<0.5 m/s, 1.7 ft/s; 2–3 JPP pumps in operation). Preliminary analyses suggest facility efficiencies were much higher at night than day or crepuscular periods.

### **Problem Statement**

Chinook salmon are declining in the Central Valley of California and two races are listed under the Endangered Species Act of 1973. Chinook salmon may be entrained at the TFCF from late fall through late spring. Our study will determine whole facility efficiency for juvenile Chinook salmon under “salmon operating criteria.” In FY 2010, we will determine Whole Facility Efficiency (WFE), Primary Louver Efficiency (PLE), and Secondary Louver Efficiency (SLE) of juvenile Chinook salmon when 2–5 pumping units are in operation at the JPP.

### **Goals and Hypotheses**

#### *Goal:*

1. Describe WFE, PLE, and SLE for a range of JPP conditions at the TFCF: 1–5 JPP pumps in operation: determine facility efficiencies when secondary channel velocity is constant (>0.9 m/s, 3 fps) and primary BR varies (but always >1).

#### *Hypothesis:*

1. There is no difference in Chinook salmon WFE or PLE at different primary channel bypass ratios.

### **Materials and Methods**

We will use release recapture experiments to measure facility efficiencies. We will determine which type of test will be performed depending on the number of pumps in operation at the JPP in March/April 2010.

Juvenile Chinook salmon (4,300) will be obtained from either Mokelumne or Feather River Fish Hatcheries (California Department of Fish and Game) in late February 2010. Fish will be held in flow-through 750-L (198-gal) tanks in well water (18 °C) and

fed Silver Cup salmon feed. Two weeks prior to testing, 4,200 fish will be fin tagged with fluorescent microbeads (New West Technology, Arcata, California) into the following tag groups: Tags 1–6: 500 fish each (24 primary channel releases, 12 day/12 night), Tags 7–12: 180 fish each (24 secondary channel releases, 12 day/12 night). One hundred fish will be fin-clipped for holding tank control releases. These fish are released to test whether the lift bucket and screen are securely in place each experiment, and can be reused in subsequent experiments. Experimental fish will be acclimated to ambient Delta water conditions for 7 days prior to use.

For each experiment (3 per 24-h period), 100 fish will be released at 5 locations (20 per location) just downstream of the trashrack, 40 fish released at the anterior end of the secondary channel, and 10 fish released into the holding tank. Each morning before the experiments begin, the trashrack and primary louver array will be cleaned. Then the secondary louver arrays will be cleaned and predators removed from the secondary channel. We will begin preparation for an experiment by counting test fish and placing them in 18.9-L (5-gal) buckets (20 fish/bucket). While counting takes place, the target secondary channel velocity is achieved by manipulation of the VC pumps. Once target hydraulics are established and stabilized, Experiment 1 for that 24h period will begin. This will be repeated 30 and 60 min later for a total of three experimental releases per 24-h period. Holding tank and sieve net samples will be taken simultaneously every ½-h for 2 h. Recovered fish will be sorted by color code and measured.

Hydraulic measurements will be taken every 30 min throughout each experiment to ensure that average secondary channel velocity remains within the acceptable target condition range ( $\pm 0.06$  m/s). Hydraulic data includes channel velocities and depths in the primary and secondary channels, discharge in the secondary channel and holding tank, primary and secondary channel bypass ratios, and ambient light condition.

### *Data Analyses*

WFE will be calculated using:

WFE = (# recovered in the holding tank/100) X Holding Tank Efficiency (HTE)

SLE will be calculated using:

SLE = (# recovered in the holding tank/# recovered in the holding tank + # recovered in the sieve net) X HTE, and

PLE will be estimated using:

PLE = (WFE/SLE) X HTE

Analysis of Variance or Kruskal-Wallis (if assumptions for parametric statistics are not met) will be used to compare efficiency estimates among facility conditions

### **Coordination and Collaboration**

These studies will be coordinated with the California Department of Fish and Game's Delta diversion facilities reporting program, and the Tracy Fish Collection Facility staff. All work will be reviewed by the Tracy Technical Advisory Team through progress updates on request and reviews of study plans and all reports.

### **Endangered Species Concerns**

Incidental "take" of ESA listed salmon, steelhead, and delta smelt is possible and such fish will be returned to Delta waters as quickly as possible. The total number of

each ESA species incidentally caught or collected during the experiment will be recorded and sent to the reporting agencies. The incidental take from this research is covered under the TFCF Section 10 permit.

### **Dissemination of Results (Deliverables and Outcomes)**

We hope to collect all trials described above in 2010. If so, we will publish a summary draft report in 2010 (also including FY 2009 data) as a volume in the Tracy Technical Report Series.

### **Literature Cited**

- Bates, D.W., O. Logan, and E.A. Pesonen. 1960. *Efficiency evaluation, Tracy Fish Collection Facility, Central Valley Project, California*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Seattle, Washington.
- Bowen, M.D., B.B. Baskerville-Bridges, K.W. Frizell, L. Hess, C.A. Karp, S.M. Siegfried, and S.L. Wynn. 2004. *Empirical and experimental analyses of secondary louver efficiency at the Tracy Fish Collection Facility, March 1996 to November 1997*. Tracy Fish Facility Studies, Volume 11. Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Region, Denver Technical Service Center.
- Department of Water Resources (DWR). 1967a. *Fish collection facilities louver slat spacing tests at Tracy Fish Collecting Facility*. January. Sacramento, California.
- Department of Water Resources (DWR). 1967b. *Bypass intake passage spacing tests at the Tracy Fish Collecting Facility*. March. Sacramento, California.
- National Marine Fisheries Service. 2004. *Biological opinion on the long-term Central Valley Project and State Water Project Operations Criteria and Plan*. NMFS, Southwest Regional Office, Long Beach, California.
- State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). 1978. *Water Rights Decision D-1485*.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2004. *Biological opinion on the long-term Central Valley Project and State Water Project Operations Criteria and Plan*. USFWS, Sacramento Office, Sacramento, California.